

TARGETING SCALE-OUT AND SCALE-UP PLATFORMS WITH A UNIFIED PARALLEL PROGRAMMING MODEL

Nicolò Tonci nicolo.tonci@phd.unipi.it



- Context & State of the art
- FastFlow
- The distributed-memory RTS for FastFlow
- Launcher module
- Hands-on Demo
- Future directions



Context



~41 billion devices in 2025

Big Data Analysis Trend

Need for new highly scalable applications and programming interfaces for shared-memory and distributed architectures.

Use cases:

- Smart cities
- Event forecasting
- Financial Analysis



State of the art

Single machine frameworks

(Shared-memory)

- Very efficient use of local resources
- · Can exploits hardware acceleration
- No support for multiple machines
- · E.g., *FastFlow*, Intel TBB, OpenMP



Distributed systems frameworks

- Designed to handle hundred of machines
- \cdot Very heavy frameworks
- Poor performance within the single machine
- E.g., *Apache Storm, Apache Spark, Apache Hadoop*



- Advocates high-level, pattern-based parallel programming.
- Mainly targets fine-grained and streaming applications.
- Originally designed for shared-cache multi-core
- Skeleton-based parallel programming model
- Support for hardware accelerators



SPSC lock-free queue

SPSC lock-free queue



FastFlow cont'd

Farm



• Basic skeletons, a.k.a. building-blocks, can be composed together to define complex data-flow graphs

Programming model behind *FastFlow*

```
void Emitter () {
  for (i=0; i<streamLen; ++i){</pre>
     queue=SELECT_WORKER_QUEUE();
     queue->PUSH(create_task());
void Worker() {
  while (!end_of_stream){
     myqueue->POP(&task);
     do_work(task);
int main(){
  spawn_thread(Emitter);
  for (i=0; i<nworkers; ++i){</pre>
     spawn_thread(Worker);
  wait_end();
```

Farm (functional replication) of n workers



From single to many multi-core machines





Communication wrappers

- Applied to edge-nodes, *i.e.*, those that communicate outside the process
- Do not require to reimplement edge-nodes
- Each edge-node can perform data serialization/deserialization in parallel. Two mechanism of serialization are available: *cereal* based, and user defined.
- Three types of wrappers
 - Wrapper OUT: performs data serialization and the encapsulation
 - Wrapper IN: performs the decapsulation and the data de-serialization
 - Wrapper IN/OUT: combination of Wrapper IN and Wrapper OUT for operators connected to remote nodes either in input and output.





Communication nodes

- Sender and receiver are the only nodes that actually communicate outside of the process (gateways).
- Lightweight routing protocol to during the initial handshake to perform message routing



Application Program Interface





host1:\$./myapp --DFF_Config=config.json --DFF_GName=G1

host2:\$./myapp --DFF_Config=config.json --DFF_GName=G2

Alternatively

dff_run loader module

- Like the well-known *mpirun* command
- Takes in input the executable and the JSON configuration file
- Forks the processes either locally and remotely, with the right parameters



- Still as proof of concept.
- Mainly used to accelerate the launching during troubleshooting and test execution.

Open problems and future directions

- Automatic graph split
- Bridging with Cloud/Big Data applications
- Collective communications (e.g., broadcasts, gathers all)
- Add fault-tolerance features





TARGETING SCALE-OUT AND SCALE-UP PLATFORMS WITH A UNIFIED PARALLEL PROGRAMMING MODEL

Thanks for the attention!

Nicolò Tonci nicolo.tonci@phd.unipi.it